Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his)
capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL)
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and)
OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE)
ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
in his capacity as the)
TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)

Plaintiff,)

Vs.)4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ

TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,)

Defendants.)

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 15th day of July, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

Exhibit 48

		Page 55
1	integrator even though the, quote, contracts, end	
2	quote, are written for a shorter period of time?	
3	A It may indicate they're happy, or it may	
4	indicate that the grower feels he or she has no way	
5	out. So I just mean that to me, as an economist,	10:25AM
6	that is a striking feature.	
7	Q And have you spoken to any growers who grow	
8	for any of the companies who are defendants in this	
9	case?	
10	A As far as I know, I have not talked to any	10:25AM
11	growers in the IRW.	
12	Q Then it would be true that you don't know	
13	whether there is a feeling on the part of any grower	
14	who grows with any of the defendants in this case	
15	that they feel like there's no way out?	10:26AM
16	A No, and I wouldn't know how to uncover their	
17	true thoughts on that.	
18	Q In the last sentence of Paragraph 22 you	
19	state, quote, even though there are several	
20	integrators in the IRW, the defendant integrators	10:26AM
21	maintain monopsony or oligopsony power over their	
22	contract growers extending to waste and dead bird	
23	disposal, period, end quote.	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Tell me what you mean by that.	10:26AM

<u> </u>		
		Page 56
1	A I mean that simply because the grower has such	
2	an investment in houses and equipment, that	
3	generally if the integrator chooses not to deliver	
4	birds except for the first flock, they don't have to	
5	and if the integrator decides to put a new contract	10:27AM
6	feature in, whatever it may be, can apply waste and	
7	dead bird disposal, then the grower has little	
8	viable economic option other than to accept it or go	
9	bankrupt.	
10	Q But per your previous testimony, Dr. Taylor,	10:27AM
11	you're unable to provide the court or jury in this	
12	case the name of any contract grower who one of the	
13	integrator defendants has denied birds?	
14	A I have simply not analyzed that. I don't have	
15	the data to analyze it.	10:28AM
16	Q Let's talk about dead bird disposal for a	
17	second. How are dead birds disposed of? Tell me	
18	the ways.	
19	A Well, there's	
20	Q In the IRW.	10:28AM
21	A I do not know now. You know, there have been	
22	different technologies through time. Going back in	
23	time, some of them were thrown out, and that's	
24	probably why disposal of dead bird requirements are	
25	in some of the early contracts. They've gone to	10:29AM

F		
		Page 132
1	I had just scanned those, and there was nothing	
2	fundamentally different from other contracts I had	
3	seen. So I went back and took a more careful look	
4	at those, addressing issues you raised with the	
5	with one of the Peterson contracts and also	12:34PM
6	addressing kind of the evolution of those contracts	
7	and how waste management how or when waste	
8	management appeared.	
9	Q Okay. What were the issues with the Peterson	
10	contract that you referred to?	12:34PM
11	A That the growers owned the litter.	
12	Q The Peterson contracts state that the grower	
13	owns the litter?	
14	A Let me go back and make sure.	
15	Q I believe that's correct.	12:34PM
16	A Too many contracts for me to remember. All	
17	poultry waste produced by the birds covered by this	
18	contract shall be the exclusive property of the	
19	contract farmer, and it goes on from there.	
20	Q Okay. So the Peterson contract says the	12:34PM
21	grower owns the poultry litter?	
22	A It is the only contract I've seen that states	
23	the defendant that the waste is the exclusive	
24	property of the grower.	
25	Q Okay, and it's my understanding from your	12:35PM

		Page 133
1	prior testimony this morning that you believe that	
2	these contract provisions that you've cited manifest	
3	the integrators' attempt to shift risk to the	
4	grower; is that correct?	
5	A Yes.	12:35PM
6	Q Explain to me how that risk is being shifted	
7	in the context of this Peterson contract where the	
8	Peterson grower owns the litter.	
9	A Well, in the I don't think I have wait.	
10	'79 and '86 contracts make no mention of	12:35PM
11	responsibility for disposal or ownership, and then	
12	the '04 contract comes in and says it's the	
13	exclusive property of the contract farmer. Then it	
14	goes on to state how they can use their exclusive	
15	property, which	12:36PM
16	Q How does it state they can use their property?	
17	A It says the grower shall be responsible for	
18	and receive all of the economic benefits from the	
19	use and disposal of said litter. Doesn't mention	
20	cost or net benefit, and it goes on to specify	12:36PM
21	I'm reading my own. Goes on to specify exactly how	
22	the grower is to dispose of litter and waste he or	
23	she presumably owns and, as I recall, there's a list	
24	of what they're supposed to do with their exclusive	
25	property.	12:36PM

		Page 134
1	Q Okay, and you're referring to the BMPs that	
2	are contained within the contract?	
3	A Right.	1
4	Q So those were BMPs. Do you know whether the	
5	Oklahoma poultry laws and regulations contain any	12:37PM
6	BMPs?	
7	A I think they do, yes.	
8	Q And would those BMPs contain in Oklahoma and	
9	Arkansas laws determine how a grower could use its	
10	litter?	12:37PM
11	A It's just strange to me, as an economist, that	
12	the contract says it's the grower's exclusive	
13	property but then you go on to tell them what they	
14	can do with it or can't do.	
15	Q That wasn't my question. I'm saying, wouldn't	12:37PM
16	the litter laws in Oklahoma and Arkansas, which	
17	you've stated contain BMPs determine how that grower	
18	can use his litter?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Okay, and that's going to be independent of	12:37PM
21	anything that's contained in the contract; is that	
22	correct?	ļ
23	A As I understand it.	
24	Q Okay, and I believe you previously testified,	
25	I believe it was at the preliminary injunction	12:38PM